

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/559,754	Applicant(s) BENGTTSSON ET AL.	
	Examiner Timothy C. Vanoy	Art Unit 1754	

All Participants:

(1) Timothy C. Vanoy.

(2) Mr. Arthur Fournier Jr., applicants' attorney.

Status of Application: pending

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 12 September 2006

Time: 10:10 am

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

22, 27, 28, 32, 33 and 34

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Timothy C Vanoy
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner proposed amending claim 22 by replacing "he" with --the-- in line 3; amending claim 27 by inserting --of-- between "flow" and "gas" in line 2; amending claim 28 by replacing "carries" with "carried" in line 12; amending claim 32 by deleting "large" in line 6; amending claim 33 by deleting "large" in lines 5 and 11 and amending claim 34 by deleting "large" in lines 5 and 11 via an examiner's amendment. Attorney granted authorization for entering this examiner's amendment..